arXiv:1609.04485v2 [quant-ph] 24 Mar 2017

Perturbations and quantum relaxation

Adithya Kandhadai, Antony Valentini

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Clemson University, Kinard Laboratory, Clemson, SC 29634-0978, USA

Abstract

We investigate whether small perturbations can cause relaxation to quantum equilibrium over very long timescales. We consider in particular a two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, which can serve as a model of a field mode on expanding space. We assume an initial wave function with small perturbations to the ground state. We present evidence that the trajectories are highly confined so as to preclude relaxation to equilibrium even over very long timescales. Cosmological implications are briefly discussed.

1 Introduction

The de Broglie-Bohm pilot-wave formulation of quantum theory [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] provides a generalisation of the quantum formalism, in which probabilities may differ from those predicted by the usual Born rule [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The Born rule applies only to a statistical state of quantum equilibrium, which may be understood as arising from a process of dynamical relaxation or 'quantum relaxation' (analogous to thermal relaxation) [6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Quantum nonequilibrium may have existed in the early universe [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 17], in which case violations of the Born rule could leave discernible traces in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23] and perhaps even survive until today for certain relic cosmological particles [10, 18, 24, 25, 26]. Our current understanding of these cosmological scenarios depends, however, on our understanding of quantum relaxation – which remains incomplete in some important respects. In particular, the effect of small perturbations has not been considered. As we shall see, from a cosmological point of view it is important to establish what the effect of small perturbations might be, in particular over long timescales.

In pilot-wave theory, a system has a definite configuration $q(t)$ which evolves in time according to a law of motion for its velocity, where $\dot{q} \equiv dq/dt$ is determined by the wave function $\psi(q,t)$. Here ψ satisfies the usual Schrödinger equation $i\partial\psi/\partial t = \hat{H}\psi$ (taking $\hbar = 1$). For standard Hamiltonians, $\dot{q}$ is proportional to the gradient $\partial_q S$ of the phase S of ψ. More generally, $\dot{q} = j/|\psi|^2$ where

$j = j[\psi] = j(q,t)$ is the Schrödinger current [27]. The 'pilot wave' ψ guides the motion of an individual system and in principle has no connection with probability. For an ensemble of systems with the same wave function, we may consider an arbitrary initial distribution $\rho(q,0)$ (at $t=0$) of configurations $q(0)$. By construction, the time evolution $\rho(q,t)$ will obey the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \partial_q \cdot (\rho \dot{q}) = 0 \ . \tag{1}$$

Because $|\psi|^2$ obeys the same equation, an initial distribution $\rho(q,0) = |\psi(q,0)|^2$ will evolve into $\rho(q,t) = |\psi(q,t)|^2$. In this equilibrium state, probabilities match the Born rule and pilot-wave theory reproduces the usual predictions of quantum theory [3, 4]. But we may just as well consider nonequilibrium distributions $\rho(q,0) \neq |\psi(q,0)|^2$, opening up the possibility of a new and wider physics with violations of the Born rule and new phenomena outside the domain of conventional quantum physics [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 28, 18, 24, 29, 17, 19, 30, 11].

Quantum relaxation to the equilibrium state $\rho = |\psi|^2$ may be quantified by a coarse-grained H-function

$$\bar{H} = \int dq \ \bar{\rho} \ln(\bar{\rho}/\overline{|\psi|^2}) \ , \tag{2}$$

where $\bar{\rho}$, $\overline{|\psi|^2}$ are obtained by coarse-graining ρ, $|\psi|^2$ respectively. This obeys a coarse-graining H-theorem $\bar{H}(t) \leq \bar{H}(0)$ (if the initial state has no fine-grained micro-structure) [6, 8, 10]. The minimum $\bar{H} = 0$ corresponds to equilibrium $\bar{\rho} = \overline{|\psi|^2}$. While this provides some understanding of how equilibrium is approached, the extent of relaxation depends on the system and on the initial conditions. For two-dimensional systems with wave functions that are evenly-weighted superpositions of energy eigenstates, extensive numerical studies have shown that initial nonequilibrium distributions ρ (with no fine-grained micro-structure) rapidly approach $|\psi|^2$ on a coarse-grained level [8, 10, 12, 14, 15], with $\bar{H}(t)$ decaying approximately exponentially with time [12, 14]. In these examples, the wave function is periodic in time and the simulations were carried out up to one period T. More recently, such simulations were extended to longer timescales (up to $50T$) [16]. It was found that, for some initial wave functions (with certain choices of initial phases), the decay of $\bar{H}(t)$ saturates to a small but non-zero residue – signalling an incomplete relaxation. This was shown to occur when a significant fraction of the trajectories remain confined to sub-regions and do not explore the full support of $|\psi|^2$. The numerical evidence indicated that such confinement (and the associated incomplete relaxation) is less likely to occur for larger numbers of superposed energy states [16]. These conclusions are consistent with earlier examples studied by Colin [15] and by Contopoulos *et al.* [31], in which limited relaxation – and an associated confinement of trajectories – was found for some initial wave functions with only three or four energy states.

Previous studies of quantum relaxation have mostly focussed on a coarse-graining approach for isolated systems [6, 8, 10], modelled on the analogous

classical discussion [32, 33].[1] In this paper we consider instead the effect of small perturbations, in particular over very long timescales (of order 10^3T). This is of interest in its own right, as well as for cosmological reasons.

Consider a system with an unperturbed wave function ψ, which generates an unperturbed velocity field $\dot{q}$ and unperturbed trajectories $q(t)$. The system might be subjected to small external perturbations, which in a first approximation we may model as perturbations to the classical potential of the system. The system will then have a perturbed wave function ψ' which is close to ψ, and a perturbed velocity field $\dot{q}'$ which we expect to be close to $\dot{q}$. Will the perturbed trajectories $q'(t)$ remain close to $q(t)$? One might expect that even a small difference in the velocity field, acting over sufficiently long periods of time, would yield perturbed trajectories $q'(t)$ which deviate greatly from $q(t)$. For example, one might consider a two-dimensional harmonic oscillator with configuration $q = (q_1, q_2)$ whose unperturbed wave function is simply the ground state, $\psi(q_1, q_2, t) = \phi_0(q_1)\phi_0(q_2)e^{-iE_0t}$, where $\phi_0(q_1)\phi_0(q_2)$ is a real Gaussian and E_0 is the ground-state energy. Because the phase $S = \mathrm{Im}\ln\psi$ is independent of position, the unperturbed velocity field $\dot{q}$ vanishes everywhere and all unperturbed trajectories are static. There can be no relaxation, nor indeed any evolution at all of the unperturbed density ρ. Any initial nonequilibrium distribution $\rho(q_1, q_2, 0) \neq |\phi_0(q_1)\phi_0(q_2)|^2$ will remain the same. Now let us consider a perturbed wave function ψ' that differs from ψ by the addition of excited states $\phi_m(q_1)\phi_n(q_2)$ with small amplitudes ϵ_{mn}. For small ϵ_{mn} the perturbed velocity field $\dot{q}'$ will be small but generally non-zero. The question is: over arbitrarily long times, will the perturbed trajectories $q'(t)$ remain confined to small subregions of the support of $|\phi_0(q_1)\phi_0(q_2)|^2$ or will they wander over larger regions and possibly over the bulk of the support of $|\phi_0(q_1)\phi_0(q_2)|^2$? In the former case, there could be no relaxation even over arbitrarily long times. In the latter case, relaxation could occur. Indeed, in the latter case it might seem plausible that, no matter how small ϵ_{mn} may be, over sufficiently long timescales the perturbed distribution $\rho'(q_1, q_2, t)$ could approach $|\phi_0(q_1)\phi_0(q_2)|^2$ to arbitrary accuracy (where $|\phi_0(q_1)\phi_0(q_2)|^2$ coincides with equilibrium as $\epsilon_{mn} \to 0$). The question, then, is whether small perturbations are generally ineffective for relaxation or whether they might conceivably drive systems to equilibrium over sufficiently long times.

Cosmologically, the effect of perturbations over long timescales could be important for several reasons. According to inflationary cosmology, the temperature anisotropies in the CMB were seeded by primordial quantum fluctuations of a scalar field whose quantum state was approximately a vacuum (the Bunch-Davies vacuum) [35, 36, 37]. It has been shown that de Broglie-Bohm trajectories for field amplitudes in the Bunch-Davies vacuum are too trivial to allow relaxation [18, 19]. On this basis it was concluded that, if quantum nonequilibrium existed at the beginning of inflation, then it would persist throughout the

[1] An exception is an early paper by Bohm [34], which considered an ensemble of two-level molecules subject to random external collisions and argued that the molecules would relax to equilibrium.

inflationary phase and potentially leave an observable imprint in the CMB today. However, strictly speaking this conclusion depends on the implicit assumption that (unavoidable) small corrections to the Bunch-Davies vacuum can be neglected in the sense that they will not generate relaxation during the inflationary era. Similarly, a cosmological scenario has been developed according to which quantum relaxation occurred during a pre-inflationary (radiation-dominated) phase [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. It was shown that during such a phase relaxation proceeds efficiently at short wavelengths but is suppressed at long wavelengths, resulting in a distinctive signature of quantum nonequilibrium at the beginning of inflation – which is then imprinted at later times in the CMB.[2] The resulting predictions for the CMB depend, however, on the assertion that there will be no significant relaxation during inflation itself, an assertion which again depends on the implicit assumption that small corrections to the Bunch-Davies vacuum may be ignored. Finally, a scenario has also been developed according to which, for certain particles created in the early universe, any nonequilibrium carried by them could conceivably survive (or partially survive) to the present [25]. But such a scenario would fail if small perturbations caused the particles to relax over very long timescales. Indeed, if small perturbations do cause relaxation over long timescales it would be exceedingly difficult to have any hope at all of discovering relic nonequilibrium today.

To discuss these cosmological matters quantitatively, it suffices to consider a free (minimally-coupled) massless scalar field ϕ on expanding flat space with scale factor $a(t)$. Here t is standard cosmological time and physical wavelengths are proportional to $a(t)$. In Fourier space we have field components $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ which may be written in terms of their real and imaginary parts, $\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\sqrt{V}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\,(q_{\mathbf{k}1} + iq_{\mathbf{k}2})$ (where V is a normalisation volume). The field Hamiltonian then becomes a sum $H = \sum_{\mathbf{k}r} H_{\mathbf{k}r}$, where $H_{\mathbf{k}r}$ $(r = 1, 2)$ is mathematically the Hamiltonian of a harmonic oscillator with mass $m = a^3$ and angular frequency $\omega = k/a$ [18, 24, 19]. If we consider an unentangled mode $\mathbf{k}$, we have an independent dynamics with a wave function $\psi = \psi(q_1, q_2, t)$ (dropping the index $\mathbf{k}$) that satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \sum_{r=1,\ 2}\left(-\frac{1}{2m}\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 q_r^2\right)\psi \tag{3}$$

(with $\partial_r \equiv \partial/\partial q_r$). The pilot-wave equation of motion for the actual configuration (q_1, q_2) then reads

$$\dot{q}_r = \frac{1}{m}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\frac{\partial_r\psi}{\psi} \tag{4}$$

and an arbitrary marginal distribution $\rho = \rho(q_1, q_2, t)$ will then evolve according

[2]Specifically, the signature amounts to a primordial power deficit at long wavelengths with a specific (inverse-tangent) dependence on wavenumber k [21, 23]. A large-scale power deficit has in fact been reported in the *Planck* data [38, 39], though the extent to which it matches our prediction is still being evaluated [40].

to the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \sum_{r=1,\ 2} \partial_r \left(\rho \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial_r \psi}{\psi} \right) = 0 \ . \tag{5}$$

These equations are just those of pilot-wave dynamics for a two-dimensional harmonic oscillator with (time-dependent) mass $m = a^3$ and angular frequency $\omega = k/a$. It may be shown that the resulting time evolution is mathematically equivalent to that of an ordinary harmonic oscillator (with constant mass and angular frequency) but with the time parameter replaced by a 'retarded time' that depends on k [20]. It is found, in particular, that relaxation is suppressed at long (super-Hubble) wavelengths while proceeding efficiently at short (sub-Hubble) wavelengths [24, 20, 21].

Thus cosmological relaxation for a single field mode may be discussed in terms of relaxation for a standard oscillator. By studying the effect of small perturbations on relaxation for a simple two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, then, we may draw conclusions that have application to cosmology.

In Section 2 we present our model, which is obtained simply by setting $m = 1$ in the equation of motion (4) (for $r = 1, 2$). This defines our dynamics of trajectories for a two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, with constant mass and constant angular frequency and with a given wave function. We shall take ψ to be the ground state with small perturbations of amplitude ϵ_{mn} coming from the lowest excited states $\phi_m(q_1)\phi_n(q_2)$. In Section 3 we discuss our method, where two different techniques are applied to infer the extent of relaxation in the long-time limit, using samples of trajectories evolved over long times. In Section 4 we then study numerically the behaviour of a sample of trajectories over very long timescales, in particular we consider how their behaviour changes as the perturbations become smaller. As we shall see, for sufficiently small perturbations the trajectories become highly confined, and neighboring trajectories are confined to almost the same regions, even over very long timescales – from which we conclude (tentatively, given our numerical evidence) that small perturbations do not cause relaxation. Cosmological implications are briefly discussed in Section 5, where we draw our conclusions.

2 Oscillator model

The system under consideration is the standard two-dimensional harmonic oscillator. We employ units such that $\hbar = m = \omega = 1$. The wave function at $t = 0$ is taken to be the ground state of the oscillator perturbed by a superposition of excited states:

$$\psi(q_1, q_2, 0) = N \left[e^{i\theta_{00}} \phi_0(q_1)\phi_0(q_2) + \sum_{(m,n)\neq(0,0)} \epsilon_{mn} e^{i\theta_{mn}} \phi_m(q_1)\phi_n(q_2) \right] . \tag{6}$$

Here N is a suitable normalization factor.

The θ_{mn} are randomly chosen initial phases taking values between 0 and 2π. The function $\phi_m(q_r)$ is the eigenfunction corresponding to the m^{th} energy state of the harmonic oscillator, given by

$$\phi_m(q_r) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m m!}} H_m(q_r) e^{-\frac{q_r^2}{2}}, \tag{7}$$

where H_m is the Hermite polynomial of order m. For an energy eigenstate $\phi_m(q_1)\phi_n(q_2)$ of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, the corresponding energy eigenvalue is $E_{mn} = (m + n + 1)$ (in our units).

The parameters ϵ_{mn} take values between 0 and 1 and quantify the difference between the initial wave function and the ground state. For $\epsilon_{mn} = 1$, the initial wave function is an equally-weighted superposition of the first four energy states, as studied in [16]. For small values of ϵ_{mn}, the initial wave function can be thought of as the ground state with small perturbations.

Introducing the quantities $\alpha_{mn}(t) = \theta_{mn} - E_{mn}t$, the wave function at any time t is given by

$$\psi(q_1, q_2, t) = N \left[e^{i\alpha_{00}(t)} \phi_0(q_1)\phi_0(q_2) + \sum_{(m,n)\neq(0,0)} \epsilon_{mn} e^{i\alpha_{mn}(t)} \phi_m(q_1)\phi_n(q_2) \right]. \tag{8}$$

Note that this wave function is periodic with period $T = 2\pi$.

The velocity field for this wave function is given by (4) (with $m = 1$), which is the equation of motion that determines our trajectories.

In most of our simulations, we shall for simplicity assume that $\epsilon_{mn} = \epsilon$, that is, we assume a 'homogeneous' perturbation. Our wave function at time t then reads

$$\psi(q_1, q_2, t) = N \left[e^{i\alpha_{00}} \phi_0(q_1)\phi_0(q_2) + \epsilon \sum_{(m,n)\neq(0,0)} e^{i\alpha_{mn}} \phi_m(q_1)\phi_n(q_2) \right]. \tag{9}$$

We shall however verify with examples that similar results are obtained for unequal values of ϵ_{mn}, so that this simplification is unimportant.

If the wave function is simply one of the energy eigenstates, as opposed to a superposition, then the trajectories are stationary (since the eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator are purely real, apart from an overall phase factor) and no relaxation occurs. However, a superposition of energy eigenstates will usually generate non-trivial trajectories and some degree of relaxation. The question is: if the perturbations are small, will significant relaxation still occur – at least over sufficiently long timescales?

3 Method

As we have noted, our goal is to study the extent of relaxation for harmonic oscillator wave functions of the form (8) consisting of the ground state with small perturbations from excited states. We will be considering long timescales of order 1000 periods. It would be computationally intractable to simulate the evolution of a complete non-equilibrium distribution ρ over such long times. For example, previous simulations of relaxation were carried out for up to 50 periods only [16], which was already computationally demanding. Instead, for each initial wave function, we shall begin by examining a sample of ten individual trajectories that start at the following points: (q_1, q_2) = (1.5, 1.5), (1.5, -1.5), (-1.5, 1.5), (-1.5, -1.5), (0.5, 0.0), (0.0, -0.5), (-0.5, 0.0), (0.0, 0.5), (0.25, 0.25), and (0.25, -0.25). These points will be referred to as points 1 through 10, respectively. For a given initial wave function, the number of trajectories that travel over the main support of $|\psi|^2$ (as opposed to remaining confined to a small sub-region) and the degree to which they cover it may be used to draw preliminary inferences about the extent of relaxation.

The justification for this method comes from ref. [16], in which it was shown that limits on relaxation – quantified by a non-zero 'residue' of the coarse-grained H-function at large times – occur when a significant fraction of the trajectories show a substantial degree of confinement (to a sub-region of the support of $|\psi|^2$). As is to be expected, confinement of trajectories is associated with a lack of complete relaxation (even over long timescales), while if the trajectories tend to wander over the bulk of the support of $|\psi|^2$ then a more complete relaxation can take place. This was shown by means of numerous examples in ref. [16].

There is of course no sharp dividing line between trajectories that are confined and those that are not, and we do not use a precise quantitative criterion. As in ref. [16] it will suffice to use our judgment in deciding how well the trajectories cover the space. The effectiveness of this method – at least for present purposes – will become clear in what follows.

We shall also use another method, again inspired by ref. [16], to infer whether or not relaxation to equilibrium occurs over very long timescales. We shall study trajectories starting from neighbouring initial points to see whether or not they are confined to essentially the same sub-regions. If they are so confined, this will constitute further evidence against relaxation.

To plot a trajectory, we consider a particle starting at the required point and calculate its position every hundredth of a period. We employ a Dormand-Prince adaptive time step algorithm to solve the equation of motion (4) with the wave function (9). The algorithm has an upper bound on the allowable error in each time step (denoted ABSTOL) which is used to choose the step size. Our trajectories are accurate up to an absolute error of 0.01 in the final position. This is confirmed by checking that the final positions with two consecutive values of ABSTOL are not separated by a distance greater than 0.01.

4 Behaviour of trajectories over very long timescales

We now present numerical results for the behaviour of trajectories over very long timescales. We first consider the previously-discussed case $\epsilon = 1$ with four modes[16], though now over much longer timescales. We are able to confirm that the behaviour found in [16] persists over timescales about two orders of magnitude larger than were previously considered. We then examine how the behaviour changes for smaller ϵ. Our results indicate that relaxation is suppressed for small ϵ. We present evidence that small perturbations are unlikely to yield significant relaxation even over arbitrarily long timescales.

4.1 Case $\epsilon = 1$

We first consider the same four-mode wave function, with m, n in equation (9) summing from 0 to 1, that was investigated in [16].[3] In that work the confinement of the trajectories was studied up to 25 periods. The results are displayed in figure 8 of [16] and show that certain trajectories remain confined over 25 periods. (It was also found that the coarse-grained H-function seemed to saturate, with a small residue indicating incomplete relaxation – caused by the confinement of a significant fraction of the trajectories.) We have now found that, for this same case, the confinement persists all the way up to 3000 periods. Initial points 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 generate trajectories that wander over the bulk of the support of the wave function, while initial points 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10 generate trajectories that are confined to small sub-regions.

If a trajectory is found numerically to be confined to a sub-region over a given large time interval, it is of course conceivable that over even larger times the trajectory could wander outside of that region and possibly cover the bulk of the support of $|\psi|^2$. We are, however, able to provide evidence that our apparently confined trajectories will remain confined indefinitely, by the following method.

We plot the 'widths' and 'heights' of the trajectories – that is, differences between the maximal and minimal q_1- and q_2-coordinates respectively – for various final times. The widths and heights of the unconfined trajectories continue to show an increasing trend even between 2000 and 3000 periods. In contrast, the widths and heights of the confined trajectories quickly saturate and remain essentially constant after the early increase, indicating that those trajectories are bounded indefinitely within a sub-region. Of course this method does not provide a proof of strict and indefinite confinement, but it does provide strong numerical evidence.

To illustrate our results we may consider two specific trajectories, the first unconfined and the second confined.

[3] The initial phases were $\theta_{00} = 0.5442$, $\theta_{01} = 2.3099$, $\theta_{10} = 5.6703$, $\theta_{11} = 4.5333$.

An example of an unconfined trajectory is shown in Fig. 1. The trajectory is plotted up to 25, 100, 200, 500, 1000 and 3000 periods. Clearly, the trajectory wanders over the bulk of the support of $|\psi|^2$. (For comparison, the distribution $|\psi|^2$ is shown in Fig. 2.)



(a) 25T (b) 100T

(c) 200T (d) 500T

(e) 1000T (f) 3000T

Figure 1: One of the unconfined trajectories for the wave function with initial phases specified in footnote 3, starting at the point (-1.5, 1.5). The six subfigures are snapshots of the trajectory at 25, 100, 200, 500, 1000 and 3000 periods, as indicated below each.

For comparison, the Born distribution is shown below in Fig. 2.



Figure 2: The equilibrium distribution $|\psi|^2$ at the end of any integer number of periods.

The widths and heights of the trajectory in Fig. 1, calculated for the final times 25, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 and 3000 periods, are shown in Fig. 3. The increasing range of the trajectory is obvious.



(a) (b)

Figure 3: The width (part (a)) and the height (part (b)) of the unconfined trajectory starting at (-1.5, 1.5). The data points are for the final times 25, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 and 3000 periods.

An example of a confined trajectory is shown in Fig. 4. The trajectory is again plotted up to 25, 100, 200, 500, 1000 and 3000 periods. The trajectory is clearly confined to a sub-region of the support of $|\psi|^2$.



(a) 25T (b) 100T

(c) 200T (d) 500T

(e) 1000T (f) 3000T

Figure 4: One of the confined trajectories for the same wave function as in Fig. 1, starting at (-0.5, 0.0). The six sub-figures are snapshots of the trajectory at 25, 100, 200, 500, 1000 and 3000 periods, as indicated below each.

Evidence for strict confinement comes from plotting the width and height of the trajectory at the final times 25, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 and 3000 periods. The results are shown in Fig. 5. There is a quick saturation after the early increase, indicating a strict and indefinite confinement to a sub-region (of the corresponding saturation width and height).



(a) (b)

Figure 5: The width (part (a)) and the height (part (b)) of the confined trajectory starting at (-0.5, 0). The data points are for the final times 25, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 and 3000 periods.

To understand the overall behavior for $\epsilon = 1$, we have calculated trajectories starting at the same ten points 1-10 but for ten additional sets of randomly-generated initial phases θ_{mn} (hence a total of 100 additional trajectories). For about half of the initial wave functions, the trajectories are split more or less evenly between unconfined and confined (as was the case for the initial phases given in footnote 3), while for the remaining initial wave functions we find that 7-8 trajectories are unconfined and 2-3 are confined.

4.2 Results for smaller ϵ. Effect on confinement

We wish to investigate how relaxation over very long timescales will be affected when ϵ is made smaller. We hope to find behavior that is largely independent of the choice of initial phases. To this end we generate trajectories with the same ten starting points 1-10 and for the same additional ten sets of values of θ_{mn} as in Section 4, but now with $\epsilon = 0.5$ and then again with $\epsilon = 0.25$, 0.1 and 0.05. [4]

[4] For the illustrative figures in this subsection, the initial phases were as follows: $\theta_{00} = 4.8157$, $\theta_{01} = 1.486$, $\theta_{10} = 2.6226$, $\theta_{11} = 3.8416$.

For $\epsilon = 0.5$, it was almost always the case (for almost all choices of initial phases) that points 1-4 had largely unconfined trajectories while points 5-10 were confined to small sub-regions. Examples of both types of trajectory are shown in Fig. 6.



(a) (b)

Figure 6: Part (a) shows the trajectory starting from (-1.5, 1.5) plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for $\epsilon = 0.5$, with the set of initial phases listed in footnote 4. The trajectory is largely unconfined, though it does avoid a small central region. Part (b) shows the confined trajectory starting from (-0.5, 0), plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for the same wave function.

When ϵ is decreased to 0.25, a pattern begins to emerge. The initial points 1-4 orbit the origin while remaining confined to outer annular regions. The trajectories travel over large distances but leave a large empty space in the inner part of the support of $|\psi|^2$, and so may be considered confined. Points 5-10 are again confined to small sub-regions, as we saw for $\epsilon = 0.5$. Examples of both types of behaviour are shown in Fig. 7.



(a) (b)

Figure 7: Part (a) shows the trajectory starting from (1.5, 1.5) plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for $\epsilon = 0.25$, with the set of initial phases listed in footnote 4. The trajectory travels over a large distance, but avoids a significant fraction of the support of $|\psi|^2$. Part (b) shows the confined trajectory starting from (-0.5, 0) also plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for the same wave function.

It is found that the motion of the trajectory in Fig. 7(a) may be decomposed into a quasi-oscillation confined to a small region together with an approximately uniform circular motion around the origin. This is shown in Fig. 8.



(a) 25T (b) 100T

(c) 200T (d) 500T

(e) 1000T (f) 3000T

Figure 8: The trajectory in Fig. 7(a) plotted at times $25T$, $100T$, $200T$, $500T$, $1000T$ and $3000T$.

When ϵ is decreased even further, to 0.1 and then to 0.05, the general pattern of behaviour found for $\epsilon = 0.25$ continues to hold.

For $\epsilon = 0.1$ the initial points 1-4 travel in ever narrower annular regions centered on the origin and with support at the edges of the main support of $|\psi|^2$, while points 5-10 are confined to very small regions (Fig. 9).



(a) (b)

Figure 9: Part (a) shows the trajectory starting from (-1.5, 1.5) plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for $\epsilon = 0.1$, with the set of initial phases listed in footnote 4. The trajectory travels over a narrow annular region centered on the origin. Part (b) shows the confined trajectory starting from (-0.5, 0) plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for the same wave function. We expect that this trajectory will also form a closed ring centered on the origin, after sufficiently long times.

For $\epsilon = 0.05$ the inner and outer trajectories are confined to an even greater degree. Examples are shown in Fig. 10.



(a) (b)

Figure 10: Part (a) shows the trajectory starting from (-1.5, 1.5) plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for $\epsilon = 0.05$, with the set of initial phases listed in footnote 4. The trajectory is confined to a narrow region which will presumably form a ring over even longer timescales. Part (b) shows the highly confined trajectory starting from (-0.5, 0) plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for the same wave function.

For such small values of ϵ, the outer trajectories are confined to annular regions centered on the origin - see for example Fig. 9(a). Close examination of the trajectories at intermediate times shows that they are confined to small regions with a superposed mean angular drift (as observed already in the example of Figure 8, though with a somewhat narrower annular region). This is found to occur for all four outer trajectories for all sets of initial phases. The rate of angular drift is roughly the same for all the outer trajectories for a given set of initial phases – but it varies depending on the choice of initial phases. While these trajectories travel over large distances, as far as relaxation is concerned they are highly confined.

The above results are not specific to a homogeneous perturbation with $\epsilon_{mn} = \epsilon$. To confirm this, we may consider a specific inhomogeneous perturbation, for example with $\epsilon_{01} = 0.2$, $\epsilon_{10} = 0.15$ and $\epsilon_{11} = 0.1$. Illustrative examples of the trajectories for such a wave function are displayed in Fig. 11. The general behaviour is found to be comparable to that seen for homogeneous perturbations.



(a) (b)

Figure 11: Part (a) shows the trajectory starting from (-1.5, 1.5) plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for $\epsilon_{01} = 0.2$, $\epsilon_{10} = 0.15$ and $\epsilon_{11} = 0.1$, with the set of initial phases listed in footnote 4. The trajectory exhibits similar behaviour to that seen in Fig. 9(a). Part (b) shows the confined trajectory starting from (-0.5, 0) plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for the same wave function. This is comparable to the expected behaviour in Fig. 9(b) over sufficiently long timescales.

If we take the trajectories we have calculated (for many different sets of phases) as representative of the behaviour over very long timescales, we may draw a simple tentative conclusion. As the perturbation in the initial wave function is made smaller, the extent to which the trajectories explore the support of $|\psi|^2$ becomes smaller. We then expect that, for smaller perturbations, the extent of relaxation will be smaller (even in the long time limit). From our results, indeed, we may reasonably conclude that the extent of relaxation at large times will vanish for $\epsilon \to 0$.

4.3 Small perturbations with two additional modes

So far, we have considered wave functions with the ground state perturbed by a superposition of the $|1,0>$, $|0,1>$ and $|1,1>$ energy eigenstates of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator. However, the $|2,0>$ and $|0,2>$ states have the same energy as the $|1,1>$ state and there is no particular reason to assume that they will be less likely to be present in a perturbation than the $|1,1>$ state. In this section we discuss the results of the simulations for perturbations with

all five aforementioned excited states present, with the perturbation parameter $\epsilon = 0.1$.

We find that the trajectories are slightly less confined than they tend to be without the two newly added states (the annular regions are a bit thicker), but still do not come close to traveling over the bulk of the support of $|\psi|^2$. Thus we again find an absence of relaxation for small perturbations. Examples are shown in Fig. 12.[5]



(a) (b)

Figure 12: Part (a) shows the trajectory starting from (1.5, 1.5) plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for $\epsilon = 0.1$ and the initial phases specified in footnote 5, when the first five excited states are included in the perturbation. Part (b) shows the trajectory starting from (-0.5, 0) plotted at the end of 3000 periods, for the same wave function.

4.4 Confinement of neighboring trajectories

Despite the above results, it might be thought that relaxation could in principle still occur if neighboring initial points generated trajectories covering different sub-regions, in such a way that a small initial region explored the full support of $|\psi|^2$. As further evidence that relaxation does not occur, even over arbitrarily long timescales, we now show that in fact neighboring initial points generate trajectories that cover essentially the same sub-region of the support of $|\psi|^2$. As we shall see, neighboring initial points can generate trajectories that diverge widely, but even so the trajectories remain confined to the same sub-region. In such circumstances, relaxation cannot occur.

We consider 10 small squares of edge 0.04 centered at the points 1-10 listed above, with trajectories calculated for 13 initial points in each square.[6] This

[5] For the trajectories in Fig. 12, the initial phases were $\theta_{00} = 4.2065$, $\theta_{01} = 0.1803$, $\theta_{02} = 2.0226$, $\theta_{10} = 5.5521$, $\theta_{11} = 3.3361$, $\theta_{20} = 2.6561$.

[6] For a square centered at the origin, the coordinates of the 13 points would be as follows: $(-0.02, 0.02)$, $(-0.02, 0.0)$, $(-0.02, -0.02)$, $(0.0, -0.02)$, $(0.02, -0.02)$, $(0.02, 0.0)$, $(0.02, 0.02)$, $(0.0, 0.02)$, $(-0.01, 0.01)$, $(-0.01, -0.01)$, $(0.01, -0.01)$, $(0.01, 0.01)$, $(0.0, 0.0)$.

process was repeated for three different sets of phases. For these simulations we use the wave function with five homogeneous perturbative modes added to the ground state (as in section 4.3).

We find that the trajectories for all the points inside a given square explore almost exactly the same sub-region of the support of $|\psi|^2$. This is illustrated in Fig. 13.[7]



(a) (b)

Figure 13: Two trajectories starting from neighboring initial points in the small square centered at (1.5, 1.5), for the initial wave function with $\epsilon = 0.1$ and the phases specified in footnote 7. The trajectories cover almost exactly the same sub-region.

In some cases, however, a trajectory starting from one of the points in a square covered only a portion of the sub-region explored by its neighbors. An example is shown in Fig. 14.

[7]For the trajectories displayed in Fig. 13, the initial phases used were $\theta_{00} = 1.2434$, $\theta_{01} = 4.411$, $\theta_{02} = 4.3749$, $\theta_{10} = 4.2427$, $\theta_{11} = 1.5574$, $\theta_{20} = 5.7796$.



(a) (b)

Figure 14: Two trajectories starting from neighboring initial points in the small square centered at (0.5, 0.0), for the same wave function as in Fig. 14, at the end of 3000 periods. The trajectory in part (a) covers the sub-region more or less densely, while the trajectory in part (b) leaves significant empty spaces.

To ensure that our results are not specific to a homogeneous perturbation (with a common value of ϵ), we have applied the same analysis for a wave function with the inhomogeneous perturbation parameters $\epsilon_{01} = 0.11$, $\epsilon_{02} = 0.12$, $\epsilon_{10} = 0.13$, $\epsilon_{11} = 0.14$ and $\epsilon_{20} = 0.15$. The results are similar. An example is displayed in Fig. 15.[8]



(a) (b)

Figure 15: Two trajectories starting from neighboring initial points in the small square centered at (0.25, 0.25), for the wave function with the perturbation amplitudes specified above and phases given in footnote 8, at the end of 3000 periods. The trajectories once again explore almost exactly the same sub-region.

[8]For the trajectories displayed in Fig. 15, the initial phases used were $\theta_{00} = 4.0857$, $\theta_{01} = 0.2194$, $\theta_{02} = 4.6059$, $\theta_{10} = 1.2201$, $\theta_{11} = 0.439$, $\theta_{20} = 4.0563$.

It might be thought that the confinement of neighboring initial points to essentially the same evolved sub-region arises simply from the trajectories remaining close to each other. This is not always the case, however. Remarkably, some initial points within the same small square can become temporarily far apart (within the sub-region) and then very close again – indicating that a confinement mechanism is at play. For example, when two of the trajectories in Fig. 13 were compared, the maximum distance between the positions over 3000 periods was 1.47 - which is roughly 15 percent of the diameter of the evolved sub-region. However, the distance between the final positions was only 0.08, which is of the same order of magnitude as the distance between the initial points.

5 Conclusion

Our numerical results provide evidence that small perturbations will not, in fact, cause significant relaxation – not even over arbitrarily long timescales. In the examples we have studied, the system trajectories are confined to sub-regions of the support of $|\psi|^2$. Furthermore, neighboring initial points generate trajectories that are confined to essentially the same sub-regions. Such behavior precludes relaxation.

We have restricted ourselves to the harmonic oscillator, which as we explained in Section 1 provides a testing ground applicable to high-energy field theory in the early universe. In future work it would be of interest to consider other systems, as well as to develop an analytical understanding of the results (for which the methods of ref. [31] may prove useful).

From the point of view of a general understanding of relaxation, our results suggest that in de Broglie-Bohm theory quantum equilibrium cannot be understood as arising from the effects of small perturbations only, not even in the long-time limit. Since all systems we know of have a long and violent astrophysical history (ultimately stretching back to the big bang), their current obedience to the Born rule may nevertheless be understood in terms of the efficient relaxation found in previous simulations (at least at the sub-Hubble wavelengths relevant to laboratory physics) for wave functions with significant contributions from a range of energy states.

As regards cosmology, our results point to the following conclusions. Firstly, the implicit assumption made in refs. [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23] is justified: small corrections to the Bunch-Davies vacuum during inflation are unlikely to cause significant relaxation, and so the derived predictions for the CMB still stand (for the assumed scenario with a pre-inflationary period). Secondly, relic nonequilibrium particles from the early universe surviving to the present day remains a possibility at least in principle (albeit a rather remote one for other reasons, as discussed in ref. [25]).

References

[1] L. de Broglie, La nouvelle dynamique des quanta, in: *Électrons et Photons: Rapports et Discussions du Cinquième Conseil de Physique* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1928). [English translation in ref. [2].]

[2] G. Bacciagaluppi and A. Valentini, *Quantum Theory at the Crossroads: Reconsidering the 1927 Solvay Conference* (Cambridge University Press, 2009). [arXiv:quant-ph/0609184]

[3] D. Bohm, A suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of 'hidden' variables. I, Phys. Rev. **85**, 166 (1952).

[4] D. Bohm, A suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of 'hidden' variables. II, Phys. Rev. **85**, 180 (1952).

[5] P. R. Holland, *The Quantum Theory of Motion: an Account of the de Broglie-Bohm Causal Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).

[6] A. Valentini, Signal-locality, uncertainty, and the subquantum H-theorem. I, Phys. Lett. A **156**, 5 (1991).

[7] A. Valentini, Signal-locality, uncertainty, and the subquantum H-theorem. II, Phys. Lett. A **158**, 1 (1991).

[8] A. Valentini, On the pilot-wave theory of classical, quantum and subquantum physics, PhD thesis, International School for Advanced Studies, Trieste, Italy (1992). [http://urania.sissa.it/xmlui/handle/1963/5424]

[9] A. Valentini, Pilot-wave theory of fields, gravitation and cosmology, in: *Bohmian Mechanics and Quantum Theory: an Appraisal*, eds. J. T. Cushing *et al.* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1996).

[10] A. Valentini, Hidden variables, statistical mechanics and the early universe, in: *Chance in Physics: Foundations and Perspectives*, eds. J. Bricmont *et al.* (Springer, Berlin, 2001). [arXiv:quant-ph/0104067]

[11] P. Pearle and A. Valentini, Quantum mechanics: generalizations, in: *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Physics*, eds. J.-P. Françoise *et al.* (Elsevier, North-Holland, 2006). [arXiv:quant-ph/0506115]

[12] A. Valentini and H. Westman, Dynamical origin of quantum probabilities, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **461**, 253 (2005). [arXiv:quant-ph/0403034]

[13] C. Efthymiopoulos and G. Contopoulos, Chaos in Bohmian quantum mechanics, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39**, 1819 (2006).

[14] M. D. Towler, N. J. Russell, and A. Valentini, Time scales for dynamical relaxation to the Born rule, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **468**, 990 (2012). [arXiv:1103.1589]

[15] S. Colin, Relaxation to quantum equilibrium for Dirac fermions in the de Broglie–Bohm pilot-wave theory, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **468**, 1116 (2012). [arXiv:1108.5496]

[16] E. Abraham, S. Colin and A. Valentini, Long-time relaxation in pilot-wave theory, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47**, 395306 (2014). [arXiv:1310.1899]

[17] A. Valentini, Beyond the quantum, Physics World **22N11**, 32 (2009). [arXiv:1001.2758]

[18] A. Valentini, Astrophysical and cosmological tests of quantum theory, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40**, 3285 (2007). [arXiv:hep-th/0610032]

[19] A. Valentini, Inflationary cosmology as a probe of primordial quantum mechanics, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 063513 (2010). [arXiv:0805.0163]

[20] S. Colin and A. Valentini, Mechanism for the suppression of quantum noise at large scales on expanding space, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 103515 (2013). [arXiv:1306.1579]

[21] S. Colin and A. Valentini, Primordial quantum nonequilibrium and large-scale cosmic anomalies, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 043520 (2015). [arXiv:1407.8262]

[22] A. Valentini, Statistical anisotropy and cosmological quantum relaxation, arXiv:1510.02523.

[23] S. Colin and A. Valentini, Robust predictions for the large-scale cosmological power deficit from primordial quantum nonequilibrium, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **25**, 1650068 (2016). [arXiv:1510.03508]

[24] A. Valentini, De Broglie-Bohm prediction of quantum violations for cosmological super-Hubble modes, arXiv:0804.4656 [hep-th].

[25] N. G. Underwood and A. Valentini, Quantum field theory of relic nonequilibrium systems, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 063531 (2015). [arXiv:1409.6817]

[26] N. G. Underwood and A. Valentini, Anomalous spectral lines and relic quantum nonequilibrium, arXiv:1609.04576 [quant-ph]

[27] W. Struyve and A. Valentini, De Broglie-Bohm guidance equations for arbitrary Hamiltonians, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42**, 035301 (2009). [arXiv:0808.0290]

[28] A. Valentini, Subquantum information and computation, Pramana – J. Phys. **59**, 269 (2002). [arXiv:quant-ph/0203049]

[29] A. Valentini, Hidden variables and the large-scale structure of space-time in: *Einstein, Relativity and Absolute Simultaneity*, eds. W. L. Craig and Q. Smith (Routledge, London, 2008). [arXiv:quant-ph/0504011]

[30] A. Valentini, De Broglie-Bohm pilot-wave theory: Many-worlds in denial?, in: *Many Worlds? Everett, Quantum Theory, and Reality*, eds. S. Saunders *et al.* (Oxford University Press, 2010). [arXiv:0811.0810]

[31] G. Contopoulos, N. Delis and C. Efthymiopoulos, Order in de Broglie–Bohm quantum mechanics, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **45**, 165301 (2012).

[32] R. C. Tolman, *The Principles of Statistical Mechanics* (Dover, New York, 1979).

[33] P. C. W. Davies, *The Physics of Time Asymmetry* (University of California Press, Berkeley, 1974).

[34] D. Bohm, Proof that probability density approaches $|\psi|^2$ in causal interpretation of the quantum theory, Phys. Rev. **89**, 458 (1953).

[35] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, *Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale Structure* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).

[36] V. Mukhanov, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005).

[37] P. Peter and J.-P. Uzan, *Primordial Cosmology* (Oxford University Press, 2009).

[38] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* (Planck Collaboration), *Planck* 2013 results. XV. CMB power spectra and likelihood, Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A15 (2014). [arXiv:1303.5075]

[39] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck Collaboration), *Planck* 2015 results. XI. CMB power spectra, likelihoods, and robustness of parameters, Astron. Astrophys. **594**, A11 (2016). [arXiv:1507.02704].

[40] S. Vitenti, A. Valentini and P. Peter, in preparation.